

to increase on the Daguerreotype plate the action of light? for it is not improbable that the affinity for mercury imparted to the plate is also due to some electrical influence of light. How could we explain otherwise that affinity for mercury given by some rays and withdrawn by some others, long before light has acted as a chemical agent?*

Photography is certainly one of the most important discoveries of our age. In relation to physics and chemistry it has already been the means of elucidating many points which had not been investigated, or which were imperfectly known before. We may certainly expect that its study will be considerably useful to the progress of these sciences. But it is in reference to optics that it opens a large field of research and discoveries. Had Newton been acquainted with the properties of which light is developed in the phenomena of photography, there is no doubt that he would have left a more complete theory of light, and of the various rays which compose it.

Since the discovery of photography, opticians have turned their attention to the construction of new combinations of lenses, in order to increase their illuminating power without augmenting their aberration of sphericity. It is right I should state here that the optician who first produced the best lenses for photography was M. Voightlander, of Vienna, and they still are the most perfect that a photographer can use, particularly for portraits. In this country, an optician of great merit has constructed lenses on similar principles, and at all events has succeeded to produce some which work as quickly, and give an image as perfect in every respect. This optician is Mr. A. Rosse, well known as a learned, clever and conscientious practitioner, and who seems, besides, well versed in the theory of his art.

* Electricity produces these results on metal plates, but the effect is due to the development of heat by the electric discharge. See Phil. Mag., vol. 23, p. 225.—R. H.

In Paris, M. Lerebour is renowned for lenses at longer focus, which are better adapted for taking views than any I have tried.

From the commencement of Photography it was well known that the rays operating being the most refrangible, had a shorter focus than those producing white light, and for this reason Daguerre himself recommended the use of achromatic lenses, in which all the rays were supposed to coincide nearly at the same focus; all the camerae obscuræ were furnished with achromatic lenses, and constructed so that the plate could be placed exactly at the same distance from the ground glass, upon which the image had appeared the best defined. But with these camerae obscuræ it was very difficult to obtain a photographic image so perfect as that seen on the ground glass, and it was only now and then, and as if by accident, that good pictures could be produced.

I soon observed this anomaly, and imagined that it was due to some errors in the respective position of the two frames, holding the ground glass and the other containing the plate, which, by warping or some other causes, might have been shifted at different distances from the object glass. Not being able to assign another reason for the error, I constructed a camerae obscuræ in which the ground glass and the plate were exactly placed in the same frame. In doing so I was in hope to avoid the lens error or deviation; but to my surprise the more correct I was in my adjustment, the less I could obtain a well defined Daguerreotype picture. This proved to me that I had to seek for another cause of the difficulty, and before going any further I decided to try if the visual focus was, or not, nearly coinciding with the photogenic focus. For the experiment, I placed at a distance from the camerae obscuræ several screens on different planes. These screens being covered with black lines I could see them very distinctly on the ground glass. To find the focus upon one of the screens. To my surprise and delight, I invariably found